Agricultural Marketing Service Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 0258 Washington, DC 20250

# **USDA ISO TS Animal Welfare Assessment Checklist**

## □ Audit the Program against the following Program requirements: PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS – QAD 1060 Procedure and ISO TS 34700 Animal welfare management – General requirements and guidance for organizations in the food supply chain

- (1) Identify animal welfare scheme program documents and sections that address each criterion.
- (2) Explanations and/or comments must be provided to provide enough evidence of conformance or non-conformance, as applicable.

### NOTE: When this checklist is complete, print to ADOBE and add to the audit documentation.

ISO TS 34700 Animal Welfare Management Criteria	Applicant Reference Document	Conform (Y/N?)	Objective Evidence/Findings/ Remarks
<b>1.0 Main Principles in Developing an Anin</b>			
1.1 The Organization Developing an Anim	al Welfare Plan S	SHALL Ensur	e the Plan:
<ul> <li>1.1.1 Enables the implementation of OIE animal welfare principles and guidelines or other provisions from private or public standards that meet, at least, the OIE TAHC; the general principles for the welfare of animals in livestock production systems (Article 7.1.4) and other relevant Section 7 chapters of OIE TAHC that provide valuable guidance to develop an animal welfare plan, which complies with at least the OIE TAHC;</li> <li>1.1.2 Ensures a commitment to maintaining good animal welfare that begins with management and is instilled in all staff; this may include identification of an animal</li> </ul>			
welfare manager, or other means appropriate for the organization, to ensure accountability for animal welfare;			
1.1.3 Covers all stages of the lives of the animals under the responsibility of the organization and verifies, as far as possible, the continuity of animal welfare during transfer of responsibility from or to its organization;			
1.1.4 Facilitates implementation and communication of the plan within the organization; and			
1.1.5 Encourages continual improvement through implementing small or large-scale changes to prevent non-conformances or to ensure conformance to the plan, as well as incorporating other relevant changes to better ensure animal welfare as needed; this encouragement of continual improvement is			



Agricultural Marketing Service Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 0258 Washington, DC 20250

not intended to imply that changes need to be			
made if not necessary to maintain good animal			
welfare.			
1.2 The Organization SHALL Establish a	and Maintain the <b>A</b>	<b>Appropriate</b> ]	Documentation and Records
Related to the Plan, such as:			
1.2.1 The externally developed animal welfare			
guidelines, standards or codes of good			
practice from which the animal welfare plan			
has been developed, and			
1.2.2 Any documents or records developed by			
the organization related to carrying out or			
managing the plan, including the results of			
previous evaluations and reviews, as well as			
data used to establish and reach thresholds for			
animal-based measures.			
2.0 Developing an Animal Welfare Plan			
2.1 The development of an animal welfare			
plan SHALL be based on the following steps:			
assessing the current management of animal			
welfare within the organization, drafting the			
animal welfare plan, implementing the			
defined plan, and evaluating and reviewing			
the animal welfare plan.			
2.2 Step 1 — Identification of Gaps	I I		
2.2.1 General			
2.2.1.1 Persons undertaking the development			
of an animal welfare plan SHALL have			
adequate knowledge of for example practices,			
behavior and needs of animals, effective			
management and animal care practices, local			
conditions, national or regional legislation.			
2.2.2 Identification of Relevant Documen	ts		
2.2.2.1 Prior to conducting the gap analysis,			
the relevant documents to be used as reference			
for the gap analysis SHALL be identified, and			
SHALL include at least the OIE TAHC,			
especially the general principles of the animal			
welfare in Article 7.1.4 and specific chapters			
of the OIE TAHC that are relevant for the			
organization.			
2.2.3 Methodology for the Identification	of Gaps		
2.2.3.0 Local conditions of the organization			
can vary and SHOULD be taken into			
consideration when performing the gap			
analysis (e.g. region, climate and production			
system).			
2.2.3.1 The gap analysis SHALL be based on			
an evaluation of the level of implementation			
of each of the general principles of animal			
welfare (Article 7.1.4 of the OIE TAHC),			
taking into account both resource-based			
measures and animal-based measures.			



Agricultural Marketing Service Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 0258 Washington, DC 20250

2.2.3.2 The gap analysis SHALL take into	
account the different animal species and their	
needs in accordance with the life stage of the	
animals that are under the responsibility of the	
organization, and according to the available	
OIE TAHC specific technical chapters.	
2.2.3.3 The gap analysis SHALL define the	
life stages of the animals under the	
responsibility of the organization, in all units	
handling animals.	
2.2.3.4 The gap analysis SHALL identify the	
animal welfare objectives of the organization.	
This step SHALL identify the practices and	
resources that need to be implemented and	
particularly animal based measures that can be	
used to monitor these objectives and the level	
of their implementation.	
2.2.3.5 The gap analysis SHALL step-by-step	
identify differences between usual practices	
implemented/utilized by the organization,	
including monitoring systems and the	
resulting animal welfare conditions in units	
handling animals, and the requirements from	
OIE TAHC and other relevant documents	
identified.	
2.2.3.6 "Gaps" will result from a synthesis of	
b) and c) by identifying missing items in	
animal welfare management. The identified	
gaps and necessary continual improvements	
SHALL be prioritized based on frequency and	
severity for animal welfare and also the ability	
to easily remedy identified gaps and undertake	
improvements which will have the greatest	
impact on animal welfare.	
2.2.3.7 If an animal welfare plan already	
exists for the organization, the gap analysis	
SHALL assess the implementation of and	
compliance with that plan and also with the	
OIE TAHC requirements.	
2.2.3.8 The gap analysis SHALL assess the	
need for a contingency plan in case of, for	
instance, failure of power, water and feed	
supply systems, and natural disaster when	
they could compromise animal welfare.	
2.2.3.9 At the end of step 1, the gaps between	
the organization's usual practices and the OIE	
principles and other relevant documentary	
materials that meet at least the OIE TAHC	
SHALL be identified.	
	no Dian
2.3 Step 2 — Drafting of the Animal Welfan	re rian
2.3.1 To ensure its feasibility, the animal	
welfare plan SHALL be based on the	
assessment of the relevant resources and	



Agricultural Marketing Service Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 0258 Washington, DC 20250

practices necessary to meet the animal welfare		
objectives in compliance at least with the OIE		
TAHC, taking into account the local		
geographical and climatic conditions. This		
SHOULD include human (including		
competencies), financial and environmental		
aspects. The animal welfare plan SHALL take		
into account animal health and disease		
management based on, for example, the local		
epidemiologic context and the regulatory		
animal health programs. The level of detail of		
the assessment SHOULD be commensurate		
with the size and nature of the operations		
linked to animals to which the plan is to be		
applied. If needed, emergency measures		
within a contingency plan SHOULD be		
defined.		
2.3.2 The animal welfare plan SHALL		
identify competencies for animal handlers to		
ensure appropriate animal welfare conditions.		
2.3.3 The animal welfare plan SHALL		
identify relevant monitoring of animal care		
and measureable indicators as per the OIE		
TAHC, for example animal-based and		
resource-based measures.		
2.3.4 Where there is scientific evidence that		
specific practices would have benefit or		
drawback on animal welfare, emphasis		
SHOULD be on monitoring the corresponding		
resource-based measures.		
2.3.5 Where there is scientific evidence that a		
possible welfare issue is of multi-factorial		
origin, emphasis SHALL be on the monitoring		
of animal-based measures. The acceptable		
thresholds for these indicators SHALL be		
determined on a scientific basis.		
2.3.6 The response to gaps in the animal		
welfare plan SHOULD define a scheme and a		
timeline to improve animal care, taking into		
account the prioritization of the gaps in step 1.		
2.3.7 The animal welfare plan SHALL		
identify or describe methods for evaluation		
and review.		
2.3.8 The animal welfare plan SHALL be		
reviewed by experienced farmers and		
operators, or other experienced experts, to		
ensure practical and achievable outcomes.		
2.3.9 At the end of step 2, the written animal		 
welfare plan SHALL be available for the		
organization.		
2.4 Step 3 — Implementation of the Animal	Welfare Plan	
2.4.0 The implementation step sets out what		
needs to be done to execute the animal		
needs to be done to encoute the unintur		



Agricultural Marketing Service Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 0258 Washington, DC 20250

welfare plan described in step 2, to maintain	
and improve animal welfare. It covers both	
the human and other resources required as	
well as supervision of how the provisions of	
the plan are being followed. It takes into	
account the size and nature of the operations	
to which the plan is to be applied. It	
emphasizes that remedial actions SHOULD	
attempt to address root causes of non-	
compliances with the defined animal welfare	
plan.	 
2.4.1 According to the plan developed in step	
2, the required resources, (e.g. equipment,	
material, systems, housing, facilities, human	
resources) SHALL be available to implement	
the animal welfare plan. The gaps identified in	
step 1 SHALL be addressed.	
2.4.2 Persons undertaking animal	
management practices SHALL be competent	
to undertake these tasks, and, where	
necessary, appropriate mentoring or training	
SHALL be provided to assist those needing to	
learn new or refresh skills.	 
2.4.3 Relevant coordination and management	
procedures SHALL be defined to ensure the	
efficient implementation of the animal welfare	
plan where necessary, depending on the size	
and nature of the operations.	
2.4.4 Key requirements described in the	
animal welfare plan (including animal care	
skills) SHALL be explained to all relevant	
staff of the organization according to their	
tasks and responsibilities, through appropriate	
communication means.	
2.4.5 The implementation of the plan SHALL	
be monitored and supervised. The monitoring	
SHALL be based on the resource-based	
measures and animal-based measures defined	
in step 2. The organization SHALL evaluate	
the level of implementation of the plan, with	
appropriate indicators.	
2.4.6 If monitoring and supervision show that	
understanding is unclear or incomplete,	
appropriate communication SHALL be	
ensured and corrective action follow-up	
SHALL be provided.	
2.4.7 A process SHALL be available for the	
definition and implementation of corrective	
actions in case of unacceptable results.	
Animal abuse SHALL be immediately	
remedied.	

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.



Agricultural Marketing Service Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 0258 Washington, DC 20250

2.4.8 Key information SHALL be recorded,		
including records of resource-based measures,		
animal-based measures and corrective actions.		
2.5 Step 4 — Evaluation and Review		
2.5.1 The organization SHALL define the		
frequency of review for the animal welfare		
plan based on updates of the relevant		
documentary materials, especially the		
routinely reviewed OIE TAHC, relevant		
changes within the organization and the		
overall performance of the animal welfare		
plan.		
2.5.2 The evaluation SHALL be undertaken		
by the animal welfare manager, or the person		
responsible for developing the animal welfare		
plan or any person with relevant skills		
regarding management of animal welfare as		
appointed by the organization. The evaluation		
SHALL assess the animal welfare achieved		
and the conformity of the organization's		
practices with its animal welfare plan.		
The evaluation SHALL include:		
— an assessment of the implementation of the		
animal welfare plan;		
— an assessment of the effectiveness of the		
animal welfare plan through an evaluation of		
appropriate indicators used in step 3;		
— feedback from the organization with a		
combination of input from persons doing the relevant tasks and discussion of key animal		
welfare areas on which to focus;		
— a combination of observations and		
document/record reviews;		
— an assessment of the relevance of the		
animal welfare plan to the organization's		
current activity and context of its operations.		
2.5.3 The review is an assessment of the		
capacity of the animal welfare plan to fill the		
gaps from step 1 and to ensure animal welfare		
according to the OIE TAHC and other		
identified documents.		
The review SHALL be conducted by the		
management of the organization, including the		
animal welfare manager or the person		
responsible for developing the animal welfare		
plan or any person with relevant skills		
regarding management of animal welfare as appointed by the organization.		
The review SHALL take into account:		
— all results from the implementation, and		
evaluation of the animal welfare plan;		
evaluation of the annual wentate plan,		



Agricultural Marketing Service Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 0258 Washington, DC 20250

— any new knowledge, practice or technology		
relevant to the improvement of welfare of		
animals within the organization;		
— any update or changes of the relevant		
documents (e.g. OIE TAHC, legislation) to		
verify that these changes have been taken into		
account in the animal welfare plan.		
The review SHALL demonstrate that the		
relevant persons within the organization have		
contributed to the plan evaluation, have		
provided some input to the animal welfare		
plan review and have ensured its practicality.		

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

### Identify the recommendation for each program if there is more than one.

Ready for Initial Audit (Desk Audit)	
Not Ready for Initial Audit (Desk Audit)	
Program Approval with No Conditions (Initial Audit)	
Program Approval with Conditions (Initial Audit) State the conditions that SHALL apply	
Continued Program Approval with No Conditions (Surveillance Audit)	
Continued Program Approval with Conditions (Surveillance Audit) State the conditions that SHALL apply	
Program Denial (Initial Audit)	
Program Suspension (Surveillance Audit)	
Program Withdrawal (Surveillance Audit)	

NOTE: When this checklist is complete, print to ADOBE and add to the audit documentation.